Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2007

IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1-16. (Canceled)

17. (Currently Amended) A redundant routing system, comprising:

a first routing unit configured to manage input and output data;

a second routing unit configured to manage input and output data;

a network interface connecting configured to connect said first and second routing

units;

a standby bus interface connecting configured to connect said first and second routing

units to each other[[;]],

wherein, when said first routing unit is managing said input and output data, said

second routing unit is configured to detect a failure of said first routing unit by monitoring

both said network and standby bus interfaces using messages sent over both the network and

the standby bus interface; interfaces,

wherein, when said second routing unit detects a failure of said first routing unit, said

second routing unit is configured to deactivate said first routing unit so that said first routing

unit no longer manages said input and output data and said second routing unit is further

configured to start managing said input and output data, and

wherein sets of parameters for interpreting the messages, eomprising including

configuration parameters of an application running configured to run on at least one of the

first and second routing units, are configured to be stored in at least one configuration file

included in both said first and second routing units, and

when said first routing unit detects a failure in itself, said first routing unit is configured to deactivate itself to cease managing said input and output data and to allow said

second routing unit to start managing said input and output data.

18. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, wherein said first and second routing units have identical functions and include identical software and configuration files.

19. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, further comprising at least one serial link connecting said first and second routing units to at least one other system.

20. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 19, wherein said at least one serial link comprises at least one Y-split cable.

## 21. (Canceled)

- 22. (Currently Amended) The system of claim [[21]] 17, wherein said first routing unit is configured to deactivatedeactivates itself and activates to activate said second routing unit by a change in an impedance of at least one input/output serial port.
- 23. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 22, wherein the change in impedance imparts putting said at least one input/output serial port in a high impedance state.

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2007

24. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 17, wherein said second routing unit

is configured to deactivatedeactivates said first routing unit by sending a reset command to

said first routing unit via the standby bus interface, said reset command executing a reset

algorithm on said first routing unit.

25. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, wherein the messages are polling

messages that are exchanged via said network and standby bus interfaces, said polling

messages carrying information relevant to detecting said failure.

26. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 25, wherein said second routing unit

is configured to detectdetects said failure of said first routing unit when said polling messages

are not properly responded to on at least one of said network and standby bus interfaces.

27. (Canceled)

28. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 17, wherein, when launching [[an]]

the application on said first and second routing units, the [[set]]sets of parameters appropriate

to said application is are loaded into a random access memory (RAM).

29. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 17, wherein said network interface is

configured to linklinks said first and second routing units with at least one remote client

system.

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2007

30. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, wherein said network interface is

the Internet.

31. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, wherein said network interface is

an Ethernet network.

32. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, wherein said network interface is

a digital local area network (LAN).

33. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, wherein said first and second

routing units operate in Open Communication Processor (OCP) mode.

34. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, further comprising an alert

protocol to warn of a possible failure of the system.

35. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, wherein said first and second

routing units are data routers.

36. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 17, wherein said first and second

routing units are data servers.

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2007

37. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 18, wherein, after said second routing unit is activated and starts managing input and output data, said first routing unit is configured to detect a failure of said second routing unit.

38. (Canceled)

39. (Currently Amended) A redundant routing system, comprising:

first routing means for managing input and output data;

second routing means for managing input and output data;

networking means for connecting said first and second routing means;

connecting means for directly connecting said first and second routing means to each other[[;]],

failure detection means, wherein, when said first routing means is managing manages said input and output data, said second routing means is configured to detect detects a failure of said first routing means using both said networking and connecting means by using messages sent over both the networking and connection connecting means[[;]], and

resetting means, wherein, when said second routing means detects a failure of said first routing means, said second routing means is configured to deactivate deactivates said first routing means so that said first routing means no longer manages said input and output data and said second routing means is further configured to start starts managing said input and output data[[;]],

wherein said first and second routing means <u>each</u> include[[,]] configuration means[[,]] <u>for</u> storing sets of parameters for interpreting the messages, the sets of parameters <u>comprising</u>

<u>including</u> configuration parameters of an application <u>running</u> <u>configured to run</u> on at least one of the first and second routing means, and

when said first routing means detects a failure in itself, said first routing means deactivates itself to cease managing said input and output data, and allows said second routing means to start managing said input and output data.

- 40. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 39, further comprising linking means or connecting said first and second routing means to at least one other system.
  - 41. (Canceled)
- 42. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 39, further comprising polling means for exchanging the messages being polling messages via said networking and connecting means, said polling messages carrying information relevant to detecting said failure.
- 43. (Previously Presented) The redundant routing system of Claim 17, wherein the at least one configuration file further includes:

the messages themselves;

at least one transmission interval between the messages; and at least one time limit between two messages.

44. (Currently Amended) The redundant routing system of Claim 39, wherein eachthe at least one configuration means further includes:

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2007

the messages themselves;

at least one transmission interval between the messages; and

at least one time limit between two messages.

45. (New) The redundant routing system of Claim 24, wherein the first routing unit

comprises the reset algorithm.

46. (New) The redundant routing system of Claim 45, wherein the second routing

unit is configured to store a memory address of the reset algorithm installed in the first

routing unit.